

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,734	10/29/2003	Eugene Joseph Pancheri	9399	7723
27752 7590 06/16/2005			EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			O MALLEY, KATHRYN S	
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DIVI		<del></del>	
WINTON HILI	L TECHNICAL CENT	ER - BOX 161	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			3749	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:	e
icant(s)	
CHERI ET AI	<b></b>
Init	
ondence ad	idress

## Office Action Summary

10/697,734 PANO Examiner Art U 3749 Kathryn S. O'Malley

Appl

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp **Period for Reply** 

Application No.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

S	ta	tu	IS

2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 March 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attack	nment(s)
1) 🛛	Notice of

1)	凶	Notice of	of Re	ferences	Cited	(PT	O-892)
----	---	-----------	-------	----------	-------	-----	--------

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

4)	Ш	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
		Paper No(s)/Mail Date,

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)	Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/697,734 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indication of allowable subject matter in claims 14 and 15 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Gottfried. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,980,583 to Staub et al. in view of US Patent 5,985,385 to Gottfried.
- 4. Staub et al. teach a fabric article treating device comprising source 60 of a benefit composition (col. 5, ln. 55-56), dispensing means 52 (col. 5, ln. 12-14), and an insulating means for thermal protection on source 60 (col. 7, ln. 29-30). Staub et al. do not teach the thermal protection means having first, second, and third layers as presently claimed. Gottfried teaches a thermal protection wrapping system comprising four layers, one of which has low thermal conductivity and is sandwiched between two other layers (col. 4, ln. 25-30; col. 7, ln. 4-6). As Gottfried teaches that having his multilayer system comprising one layer of low thermal conductivity leads to greater heat protection (col. 3, ln. 47-50), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3749

to modify the thermal protector of Staub et al. with the multi-layer thermal protector of Gottfried. Regarding the specific range of thermal conductivity claimed, Gottfried does not teach the exact level of thermal conductivity of his low thermal conductivity layer. However, such a limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranged involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Regarding claim 15, the low thermal conductivity layer

#### Conclusion

taught by Gottfried is a solid (col. 7, In. 6-10).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn S. O'Malley whose telephone number is (571)272-4879. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,734

Art Unit: 3749

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KSO

MONICA S. CARTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER